

The Status and Outlook for the Photovoltaics Industry



David E. Carlson March 14, 2006



> The PV Market

> The Major Players

Different Types of Solar Cells

Field Installations

Performance and Cost

> Projections for the Future of Photovoltaics







PV Shipments for Different Technologies



thin-film PV technologies. (Strategies Unlimited, 2005)



The Major Players

Crystalline Si

- > Sharp
- > Kyocera
- BP Solar
- > Q-Cells
- > Mitsubishi
- SolarWorld
- Sanyo
- Schott Solar
- Isofoton
- > Motech
- > Suntech

Amorphous Si

- United Solar
- Kaneka
- Fuji Electric
- > Sharp
- > Mitsubisihi
- Schott Solar

CIGS

- Shell Solar
- Showa Shell
- > Wurth Solar
- DayStar

CdTe

- First Solar
- Antec Solar



Operation of a Solar Cell

- Photons are absorbed in the semiconductor resulting in the creation of electron-hole pairs
- The photo-generated electronhole pairs are physically separated at the p/n junction
- The photogenerated carriers are collected by the contacts on the front and rear of the cell



The photocurrent can be delivered to an external load at an applied voltage to perform useful work



Sources of Standard PV-Cell Efficiency Loss

- 1) Lattice thermalization
- 2) Junction voltage drop
- 3) Contact voltage drop
- 4) Recombination
- 5) Non absorbed photons



Source: M. Green et al., Univ. New South Wales







- The BP Solar Saturn solar cell utilizes a laser-grooved, buried front contact
- The aluminum back contact is heated to form a back surface field, which reduces surface recombination
- Best lab efficiency = 20.1%





- The HIT cell utilizes amorphous Si intrinsic layers (~ 5 nm) as super-passivation layers. The cell is symmetric except for the a-Si p⁺ emitter layer (~ 10 nm) on the front and the a-Si n⁺ contact layer (~ 15 nm) on the rear. The transparent electrodes are sputter-deposited indium-tin-oxide (ITO)
- > Best lab efficiency = 21.6% (open-circuit voltages > 700 mV)



SunPower Back Contact Solar Cell



- > The SunPower cell has all its electrical contacts on the rear surface of the cell
- \succ The diffusion lengths > twice the cell thickness
- > Best lab efficiency = 21.6%



Amorphous Silicon Triple-Junction Cell



United Solar has demonstrated a stable efficiency of 13% in the lab



The CdS/CdTe heterojunction solar cell is typically formed by using a chemical bath technique to deposit the CdS and close space vacuum sublimation to deposit the CdTe
 Toxicity of Cd is perceived by some to be an issue Best lab efficiency = 16.5%





> NREL has obtained an efficiency of 19.5% in the lab



Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells



- Dye-sensitized solar cells utilize a few monolayers of rutheniumbased dye molecules on titanium oxide particles in an electrolyte
- > Best lab efficiency = 11%



Spectrolab's Triple-Junction Solar Cell



In July 2005, Spectrolab reported the highest efficiency solar cell to date, a 39.0% triple-junction cell operating at 236 suns



Conversion Efficiencies vs. Time (NREL)





PV Module Conversion Efficiencies

	Modules	<u>Lab</u>
Dye-sensitized solar cells	3-5%	11%
Amorphous silicon (multijunction)	6 - 8%	13.2%
Cadmium Telluride (CdTe) thin film	8 - 10%	16.5%
Copper-Indium-Gallium-Selenium (CIGS)	9 - 11%	19.5%
Multicrystalline or polycrystalline silicon	12 - 15%	20.3%
Monocrystalline silicon	14 - 16%	21.6%
High performance monocrystalline silicon	16 - 18%	24.7%
Triple-junction (GaInP/GaAs/Ge) cell (236 sun	s) -	39.0%



- Multijunction solar cells
- Multiple absorption path solar cells (impact ionization, multiple exciton generation)
- Multiple energy level solar cells (localized levels or intermediate bands)
- Multiple spectrum solar cells (up and down conversion of photons)
- Multiple temperature solar cells (utilization of hot carriers)
- ***** All these approaches have theoretical efficiency limits > 60%.
- The theoretical efficiency limit is > 80% for multijunction cells utilizing other high efficiency approaches.



Remote Telecommunication Site





Remote Application: Village Power



Philippines Village



PV Concentrator System



A concentrator system using Fresnel lenses (Amonix)



Roof-Mounted PV Arrays









BP Solar Roof-Mounted PV Array











Building PV Curtain Wall









Georgetown University

Building-Integrated PV







BIPV and Plug-Power Hybrids





DOE Targets for PV System Costs



shown for 2005 benchmark and 2011/2020 targets.





Fig. 11: Cost development of PV generated electricity vs. conventional price of electricity (Source: RWE Schott Solar)

- PV electricity is close to being competitive in Spain today
- PV electricity is also close to grid parity today in Japan
- In the next few decades PV should become cost competitive in many parts of the world



PV Module Price Experience Curve



> At current growth rates, PV module prices should fall below \$1/Wp by 2027



Forecast for PV Electricity Production





Solar Energy – the Long-Term Solution?



Source: German Advisory Council on Global Change



- Module efficiencies are likely to exceed 20% in the next decade
- > The levelized cost of PV electricity may be about 6 ϕ /kWh by 2020
- Disruptive technologies with theoretical limits of >60% may emerge in the next few decades
- At current growth rates, the cumulative PV production would be ~36 GWp by 2020 and 4 TWp by 2040
- 3 TWp of solar electricity will reduce carbon emissions by about 1 Gton per year (7 Gtons of carbon were emitted as CO₂ in 2000)
- Thus, by about 2035 PV could be producing about 10% of the world's electricity and start to play a major role in reducing CO₂ emissions